

We can finally give the A.C. of $\zeta(s)$.

Thm 4.11 The Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}; \quad \text{Re } s > 1$$

extends to be a meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} .

Its only pole is at $s=1$ which is simple.

Moreover if $\Lambda(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$ then

$\Lambda(s)$ satisfies the func'l eqn $\Lambda(s) = \Lambda(1-s)$

Proof We have seen that $\Lambda(s)$ has

the integral repr

$$\Lambda(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} [\theta(\pi t) - 1] t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

which is valid for $\text{Re } s > 1$. We'll show that this integral repr can be extended to all s .

To this end we first note

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (\theta(\pi t) - 1) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi k t} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\pi t}}{1 - e^{-\pi t}} \end{aligned}$$

so for $t > 1$ we have $\frac{1}{2} (\theta(\pi t) - 1) \leq e^{-\pi t} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\pi}}}_{= K}$

4 (22)

Next we divide the integral from $t=0$ to $t=\infty$ into 2 pieces

$$2\Lambda(s) = \int_0^1 (\theta(\pi t) - 1) t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} + \int_1^{\infty} (\dots) \frac{dt}{t}$$

Since for $t > 1$, $\frac{1}{2}(\theta(\pi t) - 1) \leq K e^{-\pi t}$

the second integral in fact converges $\forall s \in \mathbb{C}$.
(not just $\text{Re } s > 1$)

For $\text{Re } s > 1$, the first integral

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\theta(\pi t) - 1) t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \theta(\pi t) t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{s}$ we have that

for $\text{Re } s > 1$

$$\Lambda(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \theta(\pi t) t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} (\theta(\pi t) - 1) t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

For the first integral we'll use the fun'l eqn of

$$\theta; \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 / t} \quad \text{to get}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 t} t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 / t} t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 / t} - 1 \right) t^{\frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2/t} - 1 \right] t^{\frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{s-1}$$

Now let $\tilde{t} = \frac{1}{t}$ to get

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 \tilde{t}} - 1 \right) \tilde{t}^{\frac{1}{2}(1-s)} \frac{d\tilde{t}}{\tilde{t}} + \frac{1}{s-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} (\Theta(\tilde{t}) - 1) \tilde{t}^{\frac{1}{2}(1-s)} \frac{d\tilde{t}}{\tilde{t}} + \frac{1}{s-1}$$

This integral again converges $\forall s \in \mathbb{C}$

Putting everything together we have

$$\Lambda(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} (\Theta(\tilde{t}) - 1) \tilde{t}^{\frac{1}{2}(1-s)} \frac{d\tilde{t}}{\tilde{t}} + \frac{1}{s-1}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\Theta(\tilde{t}) - 1) \tilde{t}^{\frac{1}{2}(s-1)} \frac{d\tilde{t}}{\tilde{t}} - \frac{1}{s}$$

Now the RHS makes sense for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and gives the analytic continuation to $\Lambda(s)$ with simple poles at $s=1$ and $s=0$ w/ residues 1 and -1 resp.

Since $\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2)$ is also meromorphic with simple poles at $s=0, -2, -4, \dots$

We conclude that $\zeta(s)$ also has meromorphic continuation to all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with only one simple pole at $s=1$.

Since $\Gamma(s)$ has no poles other than $s=0, s=1$, and $\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$ has poles at $s=0, -2, \dots$, $\zeta(s)$ must have zeroes

at $s=-2, -4, \dots$. These zeroes are called the trivial zeroes of $\zeta(s)$.

Riemann hyp. If $\zeta(s)=0$ and $s \notin \{-2, -4, \dots\}$

then $\text{Re } s = \frac{1}{2}$.

Finally one can show using fundamental theorem of arithmetic that $\zeta(s)$ has an Euler product expansion

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} \quad \text{Re } s > 1$$

Exercise

We can now also give the analog statement of A.C. and func'l eqn for L-functions of modular forms.

Recall if $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \in S_k$ then

$$a_n = O(n^{k/2}) \quad \text{and if } f \in M_k \text{ then } a_n = O(n^k)$$

~ Hence $L(f, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ converges

abs for $\text{Res} > c+1$ with either $c = k/2$ or $c = k$ and defines a holom. function for $\text{Res} > c+1$.

Thm 4.12 Let $f \in M_k$ then the L-function $L(f, s)$ has meromorphic continuation to all of $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(f, s) = (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(f, s) = (-1)^{k/2} \Lambda(f, k-s)$$

$\Lambda(f, s)$ is analytic $\forall s$ if $f \in S_k$ and if $f \in M_k \setminus S_k$ then $\Lambda(f, s)$ has simple poles at $s=0$ and $s=k$ with residues $-a_0$ and $+a_0(-1)^{k/2}$ resp.

Proof is very similar to the case of $\zeta(s)$

We start by noting that $\Lambda(f, s)$ has

$$\text{integral repr } \Lambda(f, s) = \int_0^{\infty} (f(iy) - a_0) y^s \frac{dy}{y}$$

$$\text{Since } \int_0^{\infty} (f(iy) - a_0) y^s \frac{dy}{y} = \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-2\pi n y} y^s \frac{dy}{y}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2\pi n y} y^s \frac{dy}{y} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (2\pi n)^{-s} \Gamma(s)$$

If $f \in S_k$ then $f(iy) \rightarrow 0$ exponentially fast as $y \rightarrow \infty$

$$f(iy) = e^{-2\pi y} (a_1 + \dots) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } y \rightarrow \infty$$

$$f(\bar{1}/y) = (iy)^{k/2} f(iy) \text{ since } f \text{ is modular}$$

$$\text{th. } f(iy) = (-1)^{k/2} y^{-k} f(\bar{1}/y)$$

Hence $f(iy) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow 0$ as well.

$$\text{Hence the integral } \int_0^{\infty} f(iy) y^s \frac{dy}{y}$$

in fact converges abs $\forall s$ and gives the analytic continuation of $\Lambda(f, s)$ to all of $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

To see the func'l eqn:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(f, s) &= \int_0^{\infty} f(iy) y^s \frac{dy}{y} = \int_0^{\infty} (-1)^{k/2} f(\bar{1}/y) y^{-k+s} \frac{dy}{y} \\ y \rightarrow 1/y &= (-1)^{k/2} \int_0^{\infty} f(iy) y^{k-s} \frac{dy}{y} = (-1)^{k/2} \Lambda(f, k-s) \end{aligned}$$

If f is not a cusp form, i.e. $a_0 \neq 0$ 4 (27)

Then for $\text{Res} > k$ we still have

$$(2\pi)^s \Gamma(s) \cdot L(f, s) = \int_0^\infty (f(iy) - a_0) y^s \frac{dy}{y} \quad \text{Res} > k$$

$$= \int_0^1 (f(iy) - a_0) y^s \frac{dy}{y} + \int_1^\infty (f(iy) - a_0) y^s \frac{dy}{y}$$

$O(e^{-2\pi y})$

In fact converges $\forall s$

For $\text{Res} > k$

$$L(f, s) = \underbrace{-a_0 \int_0^1 y^s \frac{dy}{y}}_{-\frac{a_0}{s}} + \int_0^1 f(iy) y^s \frac{dy}{y} + \int_1^\infty (f(iy) - a_0) y^s \frac{dy}{y}$$

$$y \rightarrow 1/y = -\frac{a_0}{s} + \int_1^\infty f(i/y) y^{-s} \frac{dy}{y} + \int_1^\infty (f(iy) - a_0) y^s \frac{dy}{y}$$

$$= -\frac{a_0}{s} + \int_1^\infty (-1)^{k/2} y^{k-s} f(iy) \frac{dy}{y} + \dots$$

$$= -\frac{a_0}{s} + (-1)^{k/2} \int_1^\infty (f(iy) - a_0) y^{k-s} \frac{dy}{y} + (-1)^{k/2} \int_1^\infty a_0 y^{k-s} \frac{dy}{y}$$

$$+ \int_1^\infty (f(iy) - a_0) y^s \frac{dy}{y} \quad \text{Res} > k$$

$$= -\frac{a_0}{s} + \int_1^\infty (f(iy) - a_0) \left((-1)^{k/2} y^{k-s} + y^s \right) \frac{dy}{y} - (-1)^{k/2} \frac{a_0}{k-s}$$

converges $\forall s$.

RHS gives the meromorphic continuation of $\Lambda(f, s)$ to all of $s \in \mathbb{C}$ w/ simple poles at $s=0$ and $s=k$.

Note that RHS has a symmetry as $s \rightarrow k-s$ which gives the funct'l eqn

$$\Lambda(f, s) = (-1)^{k/2} \Lambda(f, k-s)$$

Remark (1) If $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ then $(-1)^{k/2} = -1$

$$\text{and } \Lambda(f, s) = -\Lambda(f, k-s)$$

This gives a trivial vanishing of $\Lambda(f, s)$ at $s=k/2$.

(2) We've seen that given $f \in M_k$ its L-function has A.C. and F.E.

Natural question Is there a converse?

ie if we have a Dirichlet series

$$D(s) = \sum a_n n^{-s} \text{ formed from a sequence of numbers } a_n = O(n^\alpha) \text{ and}$$

$D(s)$ has A.C. and a F.E. of the above type can we conclude that a_n 's are F-coefs of some $f \in M_k$?

Hecke (Weil for congruence groups) showed that the answer is "essentially" yes w/ some qualifications

Thm (Hecke) Converse thm

Let $f(z), g(z)$ be holomorphic functions on \mathbb{H} , $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$, $g(z) = \sum b_n q^n$

which converges abs and unif on compact subsets of \mathbb{H} . Furthermore assume

$$\exists \alpha > 0 \text{ s.t. } a_n = O(n^\alpha), b_n = O(n^\alpha)$$

Then for positive $k, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ the following 2 conditions (A) and (B) are equivalent

$$(A) \quad g(z) = (i\sqrt{N}z)^{-k} f(-1/Nz) = \left(f \left| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right. \right) (z)$$

(B) Both $\Lambda_N(f, s)$ and $\Lambda_N(g, s)$ can be continued analytically to the whole s plane and satisfy the Funcl eqn

$$\Lambda_N(f, s) = \Lambda_N(g, k-s)$$

and $\Lambda_N(f, s) + \frac{a_0}{s} + \frac{b_0}{k-s}$ is holom $\forall s \in \mathbb{C}$

and bounded on any vertical strip $\beta \leq \text{Re } s \leq \alpha$

$$\text{Here } \Lambda_N(f, s) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{N}} \right)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \quad \beta < \text{Re } s < \alpha$$

For a proof see for example Miyake's book

The next natural question is the following.

We've seen that $L(f, s)$ for $f \in M_k$

has A.C. and func'l eqn as the

Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$

$\zeta(s)$ also has an Euler product $\prod (1-p^{-s})^{-1}$

Ques. Does $L(f, s)$ also have an Euler product?

Ans In general NO!

But we'll see that M_k has a

basis of forms f_1, \dots, f_d s.t

for each f_i , $L(f_i, s)$ can also be

written as an infinite product over primes.

To prove this result we need to introduce Hecke operators.

Before we do that we'll introduce one more function whose defn is similar to

Eisenstein series (ie it is an average

over the group) but this function will be

also a cusp form.

§ 5 Poincaré series

The idea goes back to Poincaré.

Let $\{\mu_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be a collection of holomorphic, nowhere vanishing functions on \mathbb{H} satisfying the "automorphy" condition

$$\mu_{\alpha\beta}(z) = \mu_\alpha(\beta z) \mu_\beta(z) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$$

e.g. $\mu_\gamma(z) = (cz+d)^k$, $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$
such a function.

We want to construct a holomorphic function $f(z)$ on \mathbb{H} s.t. $f(\gamma z) = \mu_\gamma(z) f(z) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$

Let $h(z)$ be any holom. function on \mathbb{H} , we write formally

$$f(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{h(\gamma z)}{\mu_\gamma(z)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } f(\alpha z) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{h(\gamma \alpha z)}{\mu_\gamma(\alpha z)} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{h(\gamma \alpha z)}{\mu_{\gamma\alpha}(z)} \mu_\alpha(z) \\ &= \mu_\alpha(z) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{h(\gamma \alpha z)}{\mu_{\gamma\alpha}(z)} = \mu_\alpha(z) f(z) \end{aligned}$$

Since α runs over Γ , so does $\gamma\alpha$ for fixed α .

If the series defining f converges abs., and μ_γ is unif. on compact subsets of \mathbb{H} then $f(z)$ is holomorphic and the formal calculation becomes legitimate.

But there could be only many γ 's for which for example $\mu_\gamma(z) =$ a constant say 1 then we have little hope of convergence

$$\text{let } \Gamma_\infty = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \mu_\gamma(z) \equiv 1 \}$$

check this is a subgroup of Γ . It also follows from the automorphy condition on μ .

$$\text{let } \Gamma = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}} \Gamma_\infty \gamma \quad \mathcal{R} \text{ is a set of reps for } \Gamma / \Gamma_\infty$$

Now choose $h(z)$ so that $h(\gamma z) = h(z)$

$\forall \gamma \in \Gamma_\infty$, and define

$$f(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{h(\gamma z)}{\mu_\gamma(z)}$$

① First of all f is well defined, i.e. indep of choice of reps for Γ / Γ_∞

If $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}$ are in the same coset mod Γ_∞ then $\gamma = \beta \tilde{\gamma}$ for some $\beta \in \Gamma_\infty$

$$h(\gamma z) = h(\beta \tilde{\gamma} z) = h(\beta(\tilde{\gamma} z)) = h(\tilde{\gamma} z)$$

since $\beta \in \Gamma_\infty$ and $h(\beta z) = h(z) \quad \forall \beta \in \Gamma_\infty$.

$$\mu_\gamma(z) = \mu_{\beta \tilde{\gamma}}(z) = \underbrace{\mu_\beta(\tilde{\gamma} z)}_{\equiv 1} \mu_{\tilde{\gamma}}(z) = \mu_{\tilde{\gamma}}(z)$$

since $\beta \in \Gamma_\infty$

Hence f is well defined.

As before one can show that

$$f(\alpha z) = \mu_\alpha(z) f(z)$$

using the fact that $\gamma \in R$ is a set of reps so $\gamma \in R\alpha$ for fixed $\alpha \in \Gamma$

$$\Gamma = \cup_{\alpha \in R} \Gamma_\alpha \quad \Gamma = \Gamma_\alpha = \cup_{\gamma \in R} (\gamma \alpha)$$

Now in the special case that

$$\mu_\alpha(z) = (cz + d)^k$$

$$\Gamma_\infty := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid (cz + d)^k = 1 \} \quad k = \text{even}$$

$$= \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

$$\text{and } R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \geq 0 \right\}$$

$$= \{ (c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid c \geq 0, (c, d) = 1 \}$$

If we take $h(z) = 1$ we get the Eisenstein series as before

on the other $e^{2\pi i m z}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$

is also invariant under Γ_∞ and we define

Defn The m -th Poincare series of wt k for Γ is the function

$$P_m(z) = P_m^k(z) := \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ \gamma \in \Gamma_\infty}} (cz + d)^{-k} e^{2\pi i m \gamma z} =: e(m, \gamma z)$$